

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,404	12/15/2003	William Sobonya	MAEE 2 00035	6236
27885 7:	590 03/28/2006	EXAMINER		
•	E, FAGAN, MINNIC	RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA		
1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH FLOOR CLEVELAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/736,404	SOBONYA, WILLIAM	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ula C. Ruddock	1771	

Advisory Action	10/736,404	736,404 SOBONYA, WILLIAM				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ula C. Ruddock	1771	l			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
	THE REPLY FILED 06 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later later SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, checke either box (a) or (b) NNLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orige than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A b = f = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	rit or other evidence is	necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			ice decause:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the final rejection mailed December 8, 2005, has been maintained. The Hawley et al., Hamilton et al, and Pantaleo et al. references are properly combinable and the combination of these references result in Applicant's invention.

ULA RUDDOCK
PRIMARY EXAMINER